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## Two primes, two abelian varieties

I'll begin by describing a problem that was posed to me by several mathematicians around the time that I moved in California (September, 1978). Let $p$ and $q$ be distinct prime numbers; for example 43 and 47.

Consider, on the one hand, the abelian variety $J_{0}(p q)$.
Consider, on the other hand, the Jacobian of the Shimura curve made from the group of norm-1 units in a maximal order of a rational quaternion algebra of discriminant $p q$. One might call this Jacobian $J_{0}^{p q}(1)$.
These two abelian varieties should be related. More precisely, one expects an isogeny between $J_{0}^{p q}(1)$ and an abelian subvariety of $J_{0}(p q)$.

## "One expects"-why?

The cotangent space of $J_{0}^{p q}(1)$ is the space of weight-2 holomorphic forms on the norm-1 group from which $J_{0}^{p q}(1)$ was made. Similarly, $J_{0}(p q)$ corresponds to the space of weight-2 cusp forms on $\Gamma_{0}(p q)$.

In 1965, Hideo Shimizu found an amazing connection between the traces of Hecke operators $T_{n}$ on the two spaces. For $n \geq 1$ the trace of $T_{n}$ on the first space coincides with the trace of $T_{n}$ on the new part of the space of weight-2 cusp forms on $\Gamma_{0}(p q)$.
Throw in the Eichler-Shimura relations and the Tate conjectures, and you see that there should be a Hecke-compatible isogeny

$$
J_{0}^{p q}(1) \sim J_{0}(p q)^{\mathrm{new}}
$$

## Shimizu's article

H. Shimizu's result is regarded now as a special case of a Langlands correspondence, but it was a watershed contribution that launched a general theory. It concerned relations among quaternion algebras over a totally real field. Martin Eichler began his Math Reviews review of the article as follows:

The paper deals with quaternion algebras over a finite totally real algebraic number field. We report the principal result only in the case of quaternions over the rational field, however, since it is remarkable enough in this special case and its generalization is but a technical matter.
I was surprised to discover that MathSciNet lists only 24 post-1979 references to the article.

## Existence of the isogeny

In 1980, I used some of the techniques of Serre's "Abelian $\ell$-adic Representations and Elliptic Curves" and results of my thesis to verify Tate's conjecture for endomorphisms of abelian varieties which are of GL(2) type and have no non-zero quotients with everywhere potential good reduction.
My article was entitled "Sur les variétés abéliennes à multiplications réelles".

Sur les variétés abéliennes à multiplications réelles proved the existence of the conjectured isogeny $J_{0}^{p q}(1) \sim J_{0}(p q)^{\text {new }}$ without providing one.

Of course, my result was superseded by "Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern," a 1983 article by G. Faltings.

It used to be more common to publish in languages other than English than it is now.
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## An explicit isogeny?

In 1980, I considered that I had not responded fully to the isogeny question because I had not constructed a map (i.e., homomorphism of abelian varieties) between $J_{0}^{p q}(1)$ and anything related to $J_{0}(p q)$. Was I missing some correspondence on the product

$$
X_{0}(1)^{p q} \times X_{0}(p q) ?
$$

The first factor classifies "fake elliptic curves"-abelian surfaces with an action of the maximal order in the rational quaternion algebra of discriminant $p q$. The second factor classifies @realellipticcurves with a cyclic subgroup of order pq. How might the two types of objects be related? I couldn't discern any relation.

## The "new part" of $J_{0}(p q)$

The "degeneracy" maps $X_{0}(p q) \rightrightarrows X_{0}(p)$ and $X_{0}(p q) \rightrightarrows X_{0}(q)$ give rise to a map (with finite kernel)

$$
J_{0}(p) \times J_{0}(p) \times J_{0}(q) \times J_{0}(q) \xrightarrow{\alpha} J_{0}(p q),
$$

whose image is the old subvariety $J_{0}(p q)_{\text {old }}$ of $J_{0}(p q)$. The new quotient of $J_{0}(p q)$ is

$$
J_{0}(p q)^{\text {new }}:=J_{0}(p q) / J_{0}(p q)_{\text {old }} .
$$

Similarly, the new subvariety $J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }}$ of $J_{0}(p q)$ is the connected component of the kernel of

$$
J_{0}(p q) \longrightarrow J_{0}(p) \times J_{0}(p) \times J_{0}(q) \times J_{0}(q)
$$

which is the dual of $\alpha$.

## The new "part" of $J_{0}(p q)$

The subvariety $J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }}$ and the quotient $J_{0}(p q)^{\text {new }}$ are naturally dual to each other. Composing $J_{0}(p q)^{\text {new }} \hookrightarrow J_{0}(p q)$ with the quotient map $J_{0}(p q) \rightarrow J_{0}(p q)^{\text {new }}$ gives an isogeny

$$
\iota: J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }} \rightarrow J_{0}(p q)^{\text {new }}
$$

with kernel $J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }} \cap J_{0}(p q)_{\text {old }}$. Because $J_{0}(1)^{p q}$ is self-dual (being a Jacobian) and is isomorphic to a subquotient of $J_{0}(p q)$, one can imagine an isogeny

$$
\lambda: J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }} \rightarrow J_{0}(1)^{p q}
$$

such that

$$
\hat{\lambda} \circ \lambda: J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }} \rightarrow J_{0}(p q)^{\text {new }}
$$

is the isogeny $\iota$. The kernel of $\lambda$ will be a subgroup of $\Delta:=J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }} \cap J_{0}(p q)_{\text {old }}$, which suggests an analysis of $\Delta$.

## A CHaTty comment

I have always considered it unlikely that there could be natural maps

$$
J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }} \rightarrow J_{0}(1)^{p q}, \quad J_{0}(1)^{p q} \rightarrow J_{0}(p q)^{\text {new }}
$$

and yet no natural maps

$$
J_{0}(p q) \rightarrow J_{0}(1)^{p q} \quad J_{0}(1)^{p q} \rightarrow J_{0}(p q)
$$

Maybe it's time to reassess the situation?

## Analysis of $\Delta$

The group $\Delta=J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }} \cap J_{0}(p q)_{\text {old }}$ can expressed simply as a subquotient of the kernel of the composite
$J_{0}(p) \times J_{0}(p) \times J_{0}(q) \times J_{0}(q) \longrightarrow J_{0}(p) \times J_{0}(p) \times J_{0}(q) \times J_{0}(q)$ and the kernel of the map

$$
J_{0}(p) \times J_{0}(p) \times J_{0}(q) \times J_{0}(q) \rightarrow J_{0}(p q)
$$

This latter kernel can be understood if one knows the kernels $\Sigma_{p}$ and $\Sigma_{q}$ of the two simpler maps

$$
J_{0}(p) \times J_{0}(p) \rightarrow J_{0}(p q), \quad J_{0}(q) \times J_{0}(q) \rightarrow J_{0}(p q)
$$

as well as the intersection inside $J_{0}(p q)$ of the images of these two maps.

## Analysis of $\Delta$

I computed the groups $\Sigma_{p}$ and $\Sigma_{q}$ in my 1983 ICM talk: they're the Shimura subgroups of $J_{0}(p)$ and $J_{0}(q)$, embedded anti-diagonally in the two products. That the kernel is no bigger than these groups amounts to "Ihara's lemma," which has become quasi-notorious in the arithmetic theory of reductive groups. My computation was used in level raising.

Level lowering is used to show that the two subvarieties $\left(J_{0}(p) \times J_{0}(p)\right) / \Sigma_{p}$ and $\left(J_{0}(q) \times J_{0}(q)\right) / \Sigma_{q}$ of $J_{0}(p q)$ intersect essentially not at all.

The result is a reasonably explicit understanding of the intersection $\Delta$, which by the way is associated with Galois representations of level $p q$ that are simultaneously old and new.

## Subgroups of $\triangle$

A question that might be worth exploring is whether there is a natural subgroup $H$ of $\Delta$ so that the quotient $J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }} / H$ is auto-dual (and then a good candidate for being isomorphic to the Jacobian $\left.J_{0}^{p q}(1)\right)$.
An alternative point of view is to look for an isogeny $J_{0}(p q)^{\text {new }} \rightarrow J_{0}(1)^{p q}$, i.e., a map $J_{0}(p q) \rightarrow J_{0}(1)^{p q}$ that is 0 on the old subvariety of $J_{0}(p q)$. Ogg has pointed out that $X_{0}(1)^{p q}$ has no cusps; therefore, the cuspidal subgroup of $J_{0}(p q)$ is likely to map to 0 in $J_{0}(1)^{p q}$ under any such map.

## Some relevant literature

- Local diophantine properties of Shimura curves by Bruce W. Jordan and Ron A. Livne
- On the Néron model of Jacobians of Shimura curves by Bruce W. Jordan and Ron A. Livne
- On maps between modular Jacobians and Jacobians of Shimura curves by David Helm
- On Ribet's isogeny for $J_{0}(65)$ by Krzysztof Klosin and Mihran Papikian
- Galois extensions and a conjecture of Ogg by Krzysztof Klosin and Mihran Papikian


## Multiplicity 1

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the subring of End $J_{0}(p q)$ generated by the Hecke operators $T_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathbf{T}$. Under mild hypotheses, $J_{0}(p q)[\mathfrak{m}]$ is a $\mathbf{T} / \mathrm{m}$-vector space of dimension $\leq 2$. (This is called "multiplicity 1 .")

If multiplicity 1 holds for $J_{0}(p q)$, it holds a fortiori for the subvariety $J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }}$.

## Multiplicity 1

On the other hand $J_{0}^{p q}(1)[\mathfrak{m}]$ can be of dimension $>2$. The failure of multiplicity 1 occurs in certain cases where the 2-dimensional mod $\mathfrak{m}$ representation of $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})$ associated to $\mathfrak{m}$ is irreducible and simultaneously old and new. Specifically, suppose that the residue characteristic of $\mathfrak{m}$ is different from 2 , $p$ and $q$. The failure comes about only when the Galois representation is unramified at one of the two primes $p, q$; to fix ideas, say it's unramified at $p$. Failure then occurs precisely when the Frobenius element for $p$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})$ operates as a scalar $( \pm 1)$ in the representation. This is an unusual situation-but it occurs.
If multiplicity 1 fails for $J_{0}^{p q}(1)[\mathfrak{m}]$ but holds for $J_{0}(p q)$, the kernel of every isogeny $J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }} \rightarrow J_{0}^{p q}(1)$ necessarily involves $\mathfrak{m}$.
This is a fact to keep in mind as one tries to guess the relationship between the two abelian varieties.

## A second CHaTty comment

A few slides ago, I raised the possibility of identifying a finite subgroup $H$ of $\Delta=J_{0}(p q)_{\text {old }} \cap J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }}$ so that $J_{0}(p q)_{\text {new }} / H$ is isomorphic to $J_{0}(1)^{p q}$.

In light of the multiplicity 1 comments, it might be worth considering the following subgroups (and their analogues with the roles of $p$ and $q$ transposed):

- The group of points in $\Delta$ that are fixed by all decomposition groups for $p$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})$. (In other words, these points are unramified at $p$ and fixed by all Frobenius elements for $p$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})$.)
- The group of points in $\Delta$ that are unramified at $p$ and sent to their negatives by all Frobenius elements for $p$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}} / \mathbf{Q})$.


## Passing to characteristic $p$

The final idea that I wish to explore goes as follows: Let's suppose that there is a natural map $J_{0}(p q) \rightarrow J_{0}^{p q}(1)$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. Then this map induces a map between the Néron models of these two abelian varieties and then between the $\bmod p$ reductions of the two Néron models. (We could speak loosely of the $\bmod p$ reductions of $J_{0}(p q)$ and $J_{0}^{p q}(1)$, but these two varieties have bad reduction at $p$ unless $p$ is very small.)
In characteristic $p$, both Néron models contain a maximal torus (i.e., multiplicative-type group), and one gets a map between the two tori.

The two tori are known explicitly (Deligne-Rapoport and Cherednik-Drinfeld, respectively), so we'd end up with a map between explicit objects. What could that be?

The spoiler here is that I never found a map between the tori, or between the character groups of the two tori-which is the same thing.
The problem is that the arithmetic of the torus for $J_{0}(p q)$ in characteristic $p$ is controlled by the rational quaternion algebra of discriminant $p$, whereas the arithmetic for $J_{0}(1)^{p q}$ is controlled by the rational quaternion algebra of discriminant $q$. This seems really bad.

## Straw into gold

Somehow the character group for the reduction of $J_{0}(p q)$ in characteristic $p$ is closely related to the character group for the reduction of $J_{0}(1)^{p q}$ in characteristic $q$.
This is amazing and counterintuitive. It can actually be interpreted as a canonical bijection between isomorphism classes of certain objects over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$ and isomorphism classes of other objects over $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{q}$. The only wrinkle is that one has to be careful in choosing the algebraic closures $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{q}$ : begin with a maximal order in a quaternion algebra of discriminant $p q$. This ring has residue fields isomorphic to $\mathbf{F}_{p^{2}}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$. The fields $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{q}$ need to be taken as algebraic closures of the finite fields $F_{p^{2}}$ and $F_{q^{2}}$.

## Straw into gold

In 1986, the relations between the character groups for $J_{0}(1)^{p q}$ in characteristic $q$, and $J_{0}(p q)$ in characteristic $p$ formed the key "new" ingredient of my proof of Serre's level-lowering conjecture-the "Conjecture $\epsilon$ " that was fingered by Serre in 1985 as the sole obstacle to validating Frey's implication

Modularity of elliptic curves over $\mathbf{Q} \Longrightarrow$ Fermat's Last Theorem.

